Adaptive Delay-Tolerant DSTBC in Opportunistic Relaying Cooperative MIMO Systems

Tong Peng and Rodrigo C. de Lamare,
Communications Research Group, Department of Electronics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK
CETUC/PUC-RIO, BRAZIL
Email: tp525@ohm.york.ac.uk; rcdl500@ohm.york.ac.uk,

arXiv:1412.4442v1 [cs.IT] 15 Dec 2014

***Abstract*—An adaptive delay-tolerant distributed space-time coding (DSTC) scheme with feedback is proposed for two-hop cooperative multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) networks using an amplify-and-forward strategy and opportunistic relaying algorithms. Maximum likelihood receivers and adjustable code matrices are considered subject to a power constraint. In the proposed delay-tolerant DSTC scheme, an adjustable code matrix is employed to transform the space-time coded matrices at the relay nodes. Stochastic gradient algorithms are developed with reduced computational complexity to estimate the parameters of the code matrix. Simulation results show that the proposed algorithms obtain significant performance gains and address the delay issue in cooperative MIMO systems as compared to existing DSTC schemes.**

I. Introduction

Cooperative multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems, which employ multiple relay nodes with antennas between the source node and the destination node as a distributed antenna array, can obtain diversity gains by providing copies of the transmitted signals to improve the reliability of wireless communication systems [4]. Among the links between the relay nodes and the destination node, cooperation strategies such as amplify-and-forward (AF), decode-and-forward (DF), compress-and-forward (CF) [1] and various distributed space-time coding (DSTC) schemes [2], [3], [5] can be employed.

The development of distributed space-time coding (DSTC) schemes at relay nodes in cooperative MIMO systems have been studied in order to deal with asynchronous transmissions from the relays or delayed reception of DSTC schemes at the destination has been addressed in recent studies. By modifying the distributed threaded algebraic space-time (TAST) codes [15], Damen and Hammons designed a delay-tolerant coding scheme in [16] by the extension of the Galois field employed in the coding scheme to achieve full diversity and full rate. A further optimization which ensures that the codes in [16] obtain full diversity with the minimum length and lower decoding complexity is presented in [17]. The authors of [18] proposed delay-tolerant distributed linear convolutional STC schemes which can maintain the full diversity property under any delay situation among the relays. In [19], a transmit processing scheme based on linear constellation precoder (LCP) design is employed to construct an optimal DT-DSTC scheme to achieve the upper bound of the error probability. In [20], three opportunistic relaying algorithms are designed for two-hop cooperative systems, named opportunistic AF scheme, opportunistic source, and full-opportunism, which can achieve a lower bit error rate (BER) performance compared to the traditional equal power allocation schemes.

In this paper, we propose an adaptive delay-tolerant distributed space-time coding scheme and algorithm for cooperative MIMO relaying systems with feedback using opportunistic relaying algorithms with different numbers of antennas at the relays. Two scenarios are considered in the design: one in which the relays have multiple antennas, and another in which the relays have a single antenna. We propose a delay-tolerant adjustable code matrices opportunistic relaying optimization (DT-ACMORO) algorithm based on the maximum-likelihood (ML) criterion subject to constraints on the transmitted power at the relays for different cooperative systems. Specifically, stochastic gradient (SG) estimation methods [21] are developed in order to compute the required parameters at a reduced computational complexity. We study how the adjustable code matrices affect the DSTC scheme during the encoding process and how to optimize the adjustable code matrices by employing an ML detector. The proposed delay-tolerant designs can be implemented with different types of DSTC schemes in cooperative MIMO relaying systems with DF or AF protocols.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces two different types of two-hop cooperative MIMO systems with multiple relays applying the AF strategy and DSTC schemes. In Section III the proposed optimization algorithms for the adjustable code matrices are derived, and the results of the simulations are given in Section IV. Section V gives the conclusions of the work.

II. Cooperative MIMO System Model

We consider a cooperative communication system, which consists of one source node, n_r relay nodes (Relay 1, Relay 2, ..., Relay n_r), a feedback channel and one destination node as shown in Fig. 1. A two-hop cooperative MIMO system where all nodes employ $N \geq 2$ antennas and each of them can either transmit or receive at one time is considered. Two types of system configurations are considered in this paper: one is called the multiple-antenna system (MAS) configuration which employs relay nodes with $B = N$ antennas, and another one is called the single-antenna system (SAS) configuration which employs relay nodes with $B = 1$ antenna. A delay profile $\mathbf{\Delta} = [\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_{n_r}]$ is considered, where δ_k denotes the relative delay of the signal received from the kth relay node as a reference to the earliest received relay signal. Let $\boldsymbol{s}[i]$ denote the transmitted information symbol vector at the source node, the transmit power used at the source node is



Fig. 1. Cooperative MIMO system model with multiple-antenna n_r relay nodes

defined as P_1 and the total power used among all the relay nodes is $P_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n_r B} P_{2,k}$ where k denotes the total number of antennas among the relay nodes. We first consider the kth relay in MAS. In the first phase, the source node will encode the MPSK or M-QAM modulated information symbol vector $\boldsymbol{s}[i]$ to an $T \times N$ STC matrix, which gives

$$\boldsymbol{S}[i] = [\boldsymbol{A}_1 \boldsymbol{s}[i] \ \boldsymbol{A}_2 \boldsymbol{s}[i] \ ... \ \boldsymbol{A}_N \boldsymbol{s}[i]], \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}_n$, $n = 1, ..., N$, are $T \times T$ unitary matrices. The source node broadcasts the STC matrix to the n_r relay nodes after the encoding, and the received data at the kth relay node is given by

$$\boldsymbol{X}_k[i] = \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \boldsymbol{S}[i] \boldsymbol{F}_{MAS_k} + \boldsymbol{N}_k, \tag{2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}_{MAS_k}$ denotes the $N \times N$ channel matrix between the source node and the kth relay node, and $\boldsymbol{N}_k$ is the matrix whose entries are complex zero-mean Gaussian random variables with variance $\sigma_{n_1}^2$.

In the second phase, the received symbols will be re-encoded and amplified before being forwarded to the destination node. It is worth to mention that instead of transmitting the fixed linear functions of the received matrix from the source node in [20], we generate the adjustable code matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_k[i]$ for the kth relay node and multiply it by the received symbol matrix before forwarding it to the destination node. The delay profile $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k$ for each relay node is considered as well. The data communicated between the relays and the destination node can be described by

$$\boldsymbol{R}[i] = \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_{k,j} \boldsymbol{g}_{MAS_{k,j}}[i] \boldsymbol{y}_{k,j}[i] \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{k,j}[i] + \boldsymbol{N}_d, \tag{3}$$

where $\rho_{k,j} = \sqrt{\frac{P_{k,j}}{\sigma_{\boldsymbol{F}_k}^2 P_1 + \sigma_{n_1}^2}}$, $\boldsymbol{y}_{k,j}[i] = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k,j}[i] \boldsymbol{X}_k[i]$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k,j}[i]$ denotes the jth row in the kth adjustable code matrix, and $\boldsymbol{g}_{k,j}[i]$ is the $N \times 1$ channel coefficients vector between the jth antenna in the kth relay and the destination. The $N \times (\delta_{max} + T)$ delay profile matrix is denoted by $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{k,j}$. By substituting (2) into (3) and rewriting the $(N \times (\delta_{max} + T))$ received matrix $\boldsymbol{R}[i]$ as an $(N(T+\delta_{max}) \times 1)$ vector we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{r}[i] =& \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_{k,j} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i] \boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i] \boldsymbol{s}[i] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_{k,j} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i] \boldsymbol{G}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i] \boldsymbol{n}_k + \boldsymbol{n}_d, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i]$ is the $(N(\delta_{max} + T) \times N)$ equivalent channel matrix with the delay profile, and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i]$ stands for the $(N(\delta_{max} + T) \times N(\delta_{max} + T))$ block diagonal adjustable code matrix. The noise vectors $\boldsymbol{n}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{n}_d$ are the columns of $\boldsymbol{N}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{N}_d$, respectively.

In the SAS configuration, the $N \times 1$ received symbols at the kth relay node are given by

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{SAS_k}[i] = \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \boldsymbol{S}[i] \boldsymbol{f}_{SAS_k} + \boldsymbol{n}_{SAS_k}, \tag{5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{f}_{SAS_k}$ denotes the $N \times 1$ channel coefficients vector between the source node and the kth relay node, and the noise vector whose entries are complex zero-mean complex random variables with variance σ_{n_1} is denoted by $\boldsymbol{n}_{SAS_k}$.

In the second phase, a $T \times T$ adjustable code matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{SAS_k}[i]$ is generated at the kth relay node and multiplied by the re-encoded symbol vector. The data transmitted between the relay nodes and the destination node is expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{SAS_k}[i] = \sum_{k=1}^{n_r N} \rho_k \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{SAS_k}[i] \boldsymbol{C}_k \boldsymbol{x}_{SAS_k}[i] \boldsymbol{g}_{SAS_k} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k[i] + \boldsymbol{N}_d, \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{C}_k$ stands for the $T \times T$ space-time code matrix at the kth relay node, and $\boldsymbol{g}_{SAS_k}$ denotes the $1 \times N$ channel coefficients vector between the kth relay and the destination. The matrix $\boldsymbol{N}_d$ has entries taken from complex Gaussian random variables with zero mean and variance σ_d^2. By substituting (5) into (6) we can obtain

$$\boldsymbol{R}[i] = \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_r N} \rho_k \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{SAS_k}[i] \boldsymbol{C}_k \boldsymbol{S}[i] \boldsymbol{h}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_k[i] + \boldsymbol{W}, \tag{7}$$

and we can rewrite the $(T \times (N + \delta_{max}))$ received matrix $\boldsymbol{R}[i]$ as an $T(N + \delta_{max}) \times 1$ vector given by

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{r}[i] =& \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_r N} \rho_k \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{SAS_{eq_k}}[i] \boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{SAS_k}[i] \boldsymbol{s}[i] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n_r N} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{SAS_{eq_k}}[i] \boldsymbol{G}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{SAS_k}[i] \boldsymbol{n}_{SAS_k} + \boldsymbol{n}_d, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{SAS_k}[i]$ and $\boldsymbol{G}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{SAS_k}[i]$ stand for the $T(\delta_{max}+N) \times T$ channel matrix with the delay profile incorporated into the symbol vector and the noise vectors, respectively. $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{SAS_{eq_k}}[i]$ stands for the $T(\delta_{max}+N) \times T(\delta_{max}+N)$ diagonal equivalent adjustable code matrix. The use of an adjustable code matrix or a randomized matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ which achieves the full diversity order and provides a lower error probability has been discussed in [7]. The uniform sphere randomized matrix which achieves the

lowest BER of the analyzed schemes and contains elements that are uniformly distributed on the surface of a complex hyper-sphere of radius ρ is used in our system. The delay profiles for different relay nodes are considered and the adjustable code matrices are normalized at the destination and then transmitted back to the relay nodes.

III. Delay-Tolerant Adjustable Code Matrices Opportunistic Relaying Optimization

In this section, we detail the proposed delay-tolerant adjustable code matrices opportunistic relaying optimization (DT-ACMORO) strategy with an ML receiver for designing DSTC schemes in MAS and SAS. Adaptive SG algorithms [21] for determining the parameters of the adjustable code matrix with reduced computational complexity are devised. A normalization is employed after the optimization so that no increase in the energy is introduced and the comparison between different schemes is fair. The optimized matrices will be sent back to the relays via the feedback channels. It is worth to mention that other adaptive estimation algorithms, such as RLS, can be used in the proposed scheme.

A. DT-ACMORO Algorithm for MAS

The constrained ML optimization problem for MAS can be written as

$$\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}[i], \hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i]\right] = \underset{\boldsymbol{s}[i], \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i]}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\boldsymbol{r}_{MAS}[i] - \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{MAS}[i]\|^2,$$
$$s.t. \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \mathrm{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{MAS}_{\mathrm{eq_{k,j}}}}[\mathrm{i}]\boldsymbol{\Phi}^H_{\mathrm{MAS}_{\mathrm{eq_{k,j}}}}[\mathrm{i}]) \le \mathrm{P_R}, \text{ for } \mathrm{d} = 1, 2, ..., \mathrm{D}, \tag{9}$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{MAS}[i]$ denotes the received symbol vector without noise which is determined by substituting each column of $\boldsymbol{S}$ into (4). As mentioned in [12], the optimization algorithm contains a discrete part which refers to the ML detection and a continuous part which refers to the optimization of the adjustable code matrices. The detection and the optimization can be implemented separately. As a result, other detectors such as MMSE and sphere decoders can be used in the detection part in order to reduce the computational complexity. The ML optimization problem for determining the transmitted symbol vector in (9) is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}[i] = \underset{\boldsymbol{s}_d \in \boldsymbol{S}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\boldsymbol{r}_{MAS}[i] - \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_{k,j} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i] \boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \boldsymbol{s}_d\|^2. \tag{10}$$

By substituting each column in $\boldsymbol{S}$ into (10) to search the most likely transmitted symbol vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}[i]$ according to the ML detection rule, we can then calculate the optimal adjustable code matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{MAS_{eq_{k,j}}}[i]$.

The ML based Lagrangian expression is given by

$$\mathscr{L} = \|\boldsymbol{r}_{MAS}[i] - \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_{k,j} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \hat{\boldsymbol{s}}[i]\|^2. \tag{11}$$

By taking the instantaneous gradient terms of the Lagrangian expression with respect to $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]$ and equating the terms to zero, we can obtain

$$\nabla \mathscr{L}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}^H[i](\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i])^H(\boldsymbol{r}_{MAS}[i] - \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}[i]), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}[i] = \sqrt{\frac{P_1 T}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_{k,j} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \hat{\boldsymbol{s}}[i]. \tag{13}$$

After we obtained (12) the proposed SG algorithm can be obtained by introducing a step size into a gradient optimization algorithm to update the result until the convergence is reached. A detailed proof of the convergence of this type of algorithm is derived in [22] and [12]. The SG algorithm is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i+1] = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] + \beta \hat{\boldsymbol{s}}^H[i](\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i])^H(\boldsymbol{r}_{MAS}[i] - \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}[i]), \tag{14}$$

where β denotes the step size in the recursions for the estimation.

The opportunistic relaying problem in MAS can be expressed as

$$\hat{k} = \underset{k=1,2,...,n_r}{\operatorname{argmax}} SNR_{k_{ins}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{P_1 T \rho^2_{k,j} \|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]\|^2_F \sigma^2_s}{N \rho^2_{k,j} \|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \boldsymbol{G}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]\|^2_F \sigma^2_{n_1} + \sigma^2_d}, \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k} = 1, 2, ..., \mathrm{n_r}. \tag{15}$$

A summary of the DT-ACMORO SG algorithm in MAS is shown in Table I.

TABLE I
Summary of the DT-ACMORO SG algorithm in MAS

1:	Initialize: generate $\boldsymbol{\Phi}[0]$ randomly with the power constraint $\mathrm{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{eq_k}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^H_{\mathrm{eq_k}}) \le \mathrm{P_R}$.
2:	For each instant of time, i=1, 2, ..., compute $\nabla \mathscr{L}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}^H[i](\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i])^H(\boldsymbol{r}_{MAS}[i] - \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}[i])$,
3:	update $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]$ using (14),
4:	$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] = \frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{P_R}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \mathrm{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{eq_{k,j}}}[\mathrm{i}](\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{eq_{k,j}}}[\mathrm{i}])^H)}}$.
5:	Choose the optimal relay node by (15) and allocate all the transmit power to the optimal relay.

B. DT-ACMORO Algorithm for SAS

The main difference of the DT-ACMORO algorithm in SAS compared with that in MAS is the computational complexity which is related to the dimension of the adjustable code matrices used at the relays. We can rewrite the expression of the received vector for SAS in (8) at the destination node as

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{SAS}[i] = \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{D}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i] \phi_{k,j}[i] \boldsymbol{s}[i] + \boldsymbol{n}_D[i], \tag{16}$$

where $\boldsymbol{D}^{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]$ denotes the $T(\delta_{max} + N) \times N$ equivalent channel matrix combining the delay profile, the DSTC scheme, and the channel vector between the jth antenna of the kth relay node and the destination node. The quantity $\phi_{k,j}[i]$ denotes the

TABLE II
SUMMARY OF THE DT-ACMORO SG ALGORITHM IN SAS

1:	Initialize: generate $\mathbf{\Phi}[0]$ randomly with the power constraint $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathrm{eq_{k\,MAS}}}\mathbf{\Phi}^H_{\mathrm{eq_{k\,MAS}}}) \leq \mathrm{P_R}$.
2:	For each instant of time, i=1, 2, ..., compute $\nabla\mathscr{L}_{\phi^*_{k,j\,SAS}[i]} = -\boldsymbol{s}^H[i](\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathbf{\Delta}_k}_{eq_{k\,j\,SAS}}[i])^H\boldsymbol{r}_e[i]$,
3:	update $\mathbf{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j\,SAS}}[i]$ using (18),
4:	$\mathbf{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j\,SAS}}[i] = \frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{P_R}}\mathbf{\Phi}_{eq_{k,j\,SAS}}[i]}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n_r}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathrm{eq_{k,jSAS}}}[i](\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathrm{eq_{k,jSAS}}}[i])^H)}}$.
5:	Choose the optimal relay node by (21) and allocate all the transmit power to the optimal relay.

adjustable code scalar assigned to the jth antenna the kth relay node. The ML based Lagrangian expression is written as

$$\mathscr{L} = \|\boldsymbol{r}_{SAS}[i] - \sum_{k=1}^{n_r}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]\phi_{k,j}[i]\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}[i]\|^2, \tag{17}$$

and a simple adaptive algorithm for determining the adjustable code matrices can be derived by taking the instantaneous gradient terms of the Lagrangian expression with respect to the scalar $\phi^*_{k_{j\,SAS}}[i]$, which is

$$\nabla\mathscr{L}_{\phi^*_{k,j}[i]} = \nabla(\boldsymbol{r}_e[i])^H_{\phi^*_{k,j}[i]}\boldsymbol{r}_e[i] = -\boldsymbol{s}^H[i](\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i])^H\boldsymbol{r}_e[i], \tag{18}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{r}_e[i] = \boldsymbol{r}_{SAS}[i] - \sum_{k=1}^{n_r}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]\phi_{k,j}[i]\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}[i], \tag{19}$$

stands for the error vector. After we arrived at (18) the proposed SG algorithm can be obtained by introducing a step size into a gradient optimization algorithm to update the result until the convergence is reached, and the algorithm is given by

$$\phi_{k,j}[i+1] = \phi_{k,j}[i] + \beta(\boldsymbol{s}^H[i](\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i])^H\boldsymbol{r}_e[i]), \tag{20}$$

where β denotes the step size in the recursions for the estimation. The opportunistic relaying problem in SAS is given by

$$\hat{k} = \underset{k=1,2,...,n_r}{\mathrm{argmax}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{P_1 T\rho^2_{k,j}\|\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]\phi_{k,j}[i]\|^2_F\sigma^2_s}{N\rho^2_{k,j}\|\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{eq_{k,j}}[i]\phi_{k,j}[i]\|^2_F\sigma^2_{n_1}+\sigma^2_d}, \quad \text{for k} = 1, 2, ..., \mathrm{n_r}. \tag{21}$$

A summary of the DT-ACMORO SG algorithm in SAS is shown in Table II.

IV. SIMULATIONS

Simulation results are provided in this section to assess the proposed schemes and algorithms. The cooperative MIMO system considered employs the AF protocol with the distributed Alamouti (D-Alamouti) STBC scheme in [5], randomized Alamouti (R-Alamouti) in [7] and linear dispersion code (LDC) in [25] using BPSK and 4-QAM modulation in a quasi-static block fading channel with AWGN. The effect of the direct link is also considered. The cooperative MAS configuration equipped with $n_r = 1, 2$ relay nodes and $N = 2$ antennas at each node, while the cooperative SAS scheme employed $N = 2$ antennas at the source node and the destination node and $n_r = 1, 2$ relay nodes with a single antenna. In the simulations, we set the symbol power σ^2_s as equal to 1, and the power of the adjustable code matrix in the DT-ACMO algorithm is normalized. The effect of the feedback is not considered in the simulations in this paper. Analysis and simulation results of the effects of limited feedback are considered in [12].



Fig. 2. BER Performance vs. SNR for DT-ACMORO Algorithm for MAS

The proposed DT-ACMORO algorithms with the Alamouti scheme and an ML receiver are evaluated with MAS in Fig. 2. Different delay profiles are considered in different relay nodes. It is shown in the figure that the BER result of the cooperative system with DSTC schemes and the AF protocol achieves a diversity order of 2, and by employing opportunistic relaying (OR), fully-opportunistic (FO) and opportunistic source (OS) algorithms, a 2dB to 3dB BER improvement can be further achieved, respectively. In [20], the benefits of employing three opportunistic relaying algorithms are explained. According to the simulation results in Fig. 2, a 2dB to 3dB gain can be achieved by using DT-ACMORO compared to the systems which only use opportunistic relaying algorithms. It is worth to mention that different DT-ACMORO algorithms require different computational complexities as shown in Table I, and the DT-ACMORO-FO algorithm which requires the choice of the best antenna at the source node and the use of the best relay node can achieve the best BER performance as compared with the DT-ACMORO-OR and DT-ACMORO-OS algorithms.

In Fig. 3, the proposed DT-ACMORO SG algorithms are employed among the relay nodes in SAS. Compared to the opportunistic algorithms in [20], the DT-ACMORO algorithms achieve a 2dB to 4dB improvement compared to the original opportunistic relaying algorithms as shown in Fig. 3. When comparing the curves in Fig. 3 to those in Fig. 2, it is noticed that the diversity order of the curves in Fig. 3 and that in Fig. 2 are the same because the same DSTBC schemes are employed in MAS and SAS, however the BER performances in Fig. 3 are worse that that in Fig. 2. The reason for worse BER performances in SAS is due to the lower number of



Fig. 3. BER Performance vs. SNR for DT-ACMORO Algorithm for SAS

antennas used in SAS according to the opportunistic relaying algorithms. In SAS $n_r = 2$ relay nodes with a single antenna are employed and after the optimization, only one relay node with $N = 1$ antenna is chosen to transmit the re-encoded information symbols. On the contrary, the best relay node in MAS contains $N = 2$ antennas to forward the re-encoded DSTC scheme to the destination which ensures the complete DSTC scheme is received at the destination node.

V. Conclusion

We have proposed delay-tolerant adjustable code matrices opportunistic relying optimization (DT-ACMORO) algorithms for cooperative MIMO systems with feedback using an ML receiver at the destination node to mitigate the effect of the delay associated with DSTCs from relay nodes. Two types of cooperative systems, named MAS and SAS, have been considered. Simulation results have illustrated the performance of the two different cooperative systems with the same number of transmit and receive antennas, and the advantage of the proposed DT-ACMORO algorithms by comparing them with the cooperative network employing the standard delay-tolerant DSTC scheme. The proposed algorithms can be used with different DSTC schemes and can also be extended to cooperative systems with any number of antennas.

References

[1] J. N. Laneman and G. W. Wornell, "Cooperative Diversity in Wireless Networks: Efficient Protocols and Outage Behaviour", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 3062-3080, Dec. 2004.

[2] J. N. Laneman and G. W. Wornell, "Distributed Space-Time-Coded Protocols for Exploiting Cooperative Diversity in Wireless Networks", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 2415-2425, Oct. 2003.

[3] S. Yiu, R. Schober, L. Lampe, "Distributed Space-Time Block Coding", *IEEE Trans. Wir. Commun.*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 1195-1206, Jul. 2006.

[4] P. Clarke, R. C. de Lamare, "Joint Transmit Diversity Optimization and Relay Selection for Multi-relay Cooperative MIMO Systems Using Discrete Stochastic Algorithms", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 15, p.p. 1035-1037, Oct. 2011.

[5] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Blind Adaptive MIMO Receivers for Space-Time Block-Coded DS-CDMA Systems in Multipath Channels Using the Constant Modulus Criterion", *IEEE Trans. on Commun.*, vol. 58, no. 1, Jan. 2010.

[6] P. Clarke ad R. C. de Lamare, "Transmit Diversity and Relay Selection Algorithms for Multi-relay Cooperative MIMO Systems", IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 61 , no. 3, March 2012, Page(s): 1084 - 1098.

[7] B. Sirkeci-Mergen, A. Scaglione, "Randomized Space-Time Coding for Distributed Cooperative Communication", *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 55, no. 10, Oct. 2007.

[8] K. Vardhe, D. Reynolds, M. C. Valenti, "The performance of multi-user cooperative diversity in an asynchronous CDMA uplink", *IEEE Trans. Wir. Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 5, May 2008, pp. 1930 - 1940.

[9] R. C. de Lamare, "Joint Iterative Power Allocation and Interference Suppression Algorithms for Cooperative Spread Spectrum Networks", Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Dallas, USA, March 2010.

[10] R. C. de Lamare, "Joint iterative power allocation and linear interference suppression algorithms for cooperative DS-CDMA networks", IET Communications, vol. 6, no. 13 , 2012, pp. 1930-1942.

[11] J. Joung and A. H. Sayed, "Multiuser two-way amplify-and-forward relay processing and power control methods for beamforming systems," IEEE Trans. on Sig. Proc., vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 1833-1846, March 2010.

[12] T. Peng, R. C. de Lamare and A. Schmeink, "Adaptive Distributed Space-Time Coding Based on Adjustable Code Matrices for Cooperative MIMO Relaying Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 61, no. 7, July 2013.

[13] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive MBER decision feedback multiuser receivers in frequency selective fading channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 7, no. 2, Feb. 2003, pp. 73 - 75.

[14] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, A. Hjorungnes, "Joint iterative interference cancellation and parameter estimation for CDMA systems", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 11, no. 12, December 2007, pp. 916 - 918.

[15] H. El Gamal and M. O. Damen, "Universal space-time coding", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1097-1119, May 2003.

[16] M. O. Damen, A. R. Hammons, "Delay-Tolerant Distributed-TAST Codes for Cooperative Diversity", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 3755-3773, Oct. 2007.

[17] M. Torbatian, M. O. Damen, "On the design of delay-tolerant distributed space-time codes with minimum length", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 931-939, Feb. 2009.

[18] Z. Zhimeng, Z. Shihua, A. Nallanathan, "Delay-tolerant distributed linear convolutional space-time code with minimum memory length under frequency-selective channels", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 3944-3949, August 2009.

[19] M. R. Bhatnagar, A. Hjorungnes and M. Debbah, "Delay-tolerant decode-and-forward based cooperative communication over Ricean channels", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1277-1282, April 2010.

[20] B. Maham, A. Hjørungnes, "Opportunistic Relaying for MIMO Amplify-and-Forward Cooperative Networks", *Wireless Personal Communications*, DOI 10.1007/s11277-011-0499-9, January 2012.

[21] S. Haykin, "Adaptive Filter Theory", $4th$ ed., Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice- Hall, 2002.

[22] U. Niesen, D. Shah and G.W. Wornell, "Adaptive Alternating Minimization Algorithms", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 55, p.p. 1423 - 1429, March 2009.

[23] H. Jafarkhani, "Space-Time Coding Theory and Practice", Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[24] D. J. Tylavsky, G. R. L. Sohie., "Generalization of the matrix inversion lemma", *Proceedings of the IEEE*, pp. 1050-1052, July 1986.

[25] B. Hassibi and B. Hochwald, "High-rate codes that are linear in space and time", *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 48, Issue 7, pp. 1804-1824, July 2002.

[26] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank MMSE Filtering with Interpolated FIR Filters and Adaptive Interpolators", *IEEE Sig. Proc. Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, March, 2005.

[27] R. C. de Lamare and Raimundo Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-rank Interference Suppression for DS-CDMA based on Interpolated FIR Filters", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 3, March 2005.

[28] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Interference Suppression for DS-CDMA Systems based on Interpolated FIR Filters with Adaptive Interpolators in Multipath Channels", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, Vol. 56, no. 6, September 2007.

[29] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-Rank Adaptive Filtering Based on Joint Iterative Optimization of Adaptive Filters", *IEEE Sig. Proc. Letters*, Vol. 14, no. 12, December 2007.

[30] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-Rank Space-Time Adaptive Interference Suppression With Joint Iterative Least Squares

Algorithms for Spread-Spectrum Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.59, no.3, March 2010, pp.1217-1228.

[31] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank MMSE Parameter Estimation based on an Adaptive Diversity Combined Decimation and Interpolation Scheme," *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, April 15-20, 2007, vol. 3, pp. III-1317-III-1320.

[32] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Processing Based on Joint and Iterative Interpolation, Decimation, and Filtering," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 7, July 2009, pp. 2503 - 2514.

[33] R. C. de Lamare, L. Wang, and R. Fa, "Adaptive reduced-rank LCMV beamforming algorithms based on joint iterative optimization of filters: Design and analysis," Signal Processing, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 640-652, Feb. 2010.

[34] R. Fa, R. C. de Lamare, and L. Wang, "Reduced-Rank STAP Schemes for Airborne Radar Based on Switched Joint Interpolation, Decimation and Filtering Algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.58, no.8, Aug. 2010, pp.4182-4194.

[35] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, M. Haardt, "Blind Adaptive Constrained Constant-Modulus Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression Algorithms Based on Interpolation and Switched Decimation," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.59, no.2, pp.681-695, Feb. 2011.

[36] R. C. de Lamare and A. Alcaim, "Strategies to improve the performance of very low bit rate speech coders and application to a 1.2 kb/s codec" IEE Proceedings- Vision, image and signal processing, vol. 152, no. 1, February, 2005.

[37] S. Li, R. C. de Lamare, and R. Fa, "Reduced-Rank Linear Interference Suppression for DS-UWB Systems Based on Switched Approximations of Adaptive Basis Functions," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.60, no.2, pp.485-497, Feb. 2011.

[38] Z. Xu and M.K. Tsatsanis, "Blind adaptive algorithms for minimum variance CDMA receivers," *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 49, No. 1, January 2001.

[39] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Low-Complexity Variable Step-Size Mechanisms for Stochastic Gradient Algorithms in Minimum Variance CDMA Receivers", *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 54, pp. 2302 - 2317, June 2006.

[40] C. Xu, G. Feng and K. S. Kwak, "A Modified Constrained Constant Modulus Approach to Blind Adaptive Multiuser Detection," *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 49, No. 9, 2001.

[41] Z. Xu and P. Liu, "Code-Constrained Blind Detection of CDMA Signals in Multipath Channels," *IEEE Sig. Proc. Letters*, vol. 9, No. 12, December 2002.

[42] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio Neto, "Blind Adaptive Code-Constrained Constant Modulus Algorithms for CDMA Interference Suppression in Multipath Channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol 9. no. 4, April, 2005.

[43] R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Blind Adaptive Constrained Reduced-Rank Parameter Estimation based on Constant Modulus Design for CDMA Interference Suppression," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 56., no. 6, June 2008.

[44] R. C. de Lamare and P. S. R. Diniz, "Set-membership adaptive algorithms based on time-varying error bounds for CDMA interference suppression," *IEEE Trans. Vehicular Technology*, vol. 58, pp. 644-654, Feb. 2009.

[45] M. L. Honig and J. S. Goldstein, "Adaptive reduced-rank interference suppression based on the multistage Wiener filter," *IEEE Trans. on Communications*, vol. 50, no. 6, June 2002.

[46] Y. Sun, V. Tripathi, and M. L. Honig, "Adaptive, Iterative, Reduced-Rank (Turbo) Equalization", *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Communications*, Vol. 4, No. 6, pp. 2789-2800, Nov. 2005.

[47] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-Rank Adaptive Filtering Based on Joint Iterative Optimization of Adaptive Filters, " *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 14 No. 12, December 2007, pp. 980 - 983.

[48] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Processing Based on Joint and Iterative Interpolation, Decimation, and Filtering," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 7, July 2009, pp. 2503 - 2514.

[49] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-Rank Space-Time Adaptive Interference Suppression With Joint Iterative Least Squares Algorithms for Spread-Spectrum Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.59, no.3, March 2010, pp.1217-1228.

[50] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reduced-rank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 60, no. 6, 2482-2494, 2011.

[51] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, *Minimum Mean-Squared Error Iterative Successive Parallel Arbitrated Decision Feedback Detectors for DS-CDMA Systems*, IEEE Trans. on Commun., vol. 56, p.p. 778-789, May 2008.

[52] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Multiple Feedback Successive Interference Cancellation Detection for Multiuser MIMO Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2434 - 2439, August 2011.

[53] P. Li and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Decision-Feedback Detection With Constellation Constraints for MIMO Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 61, no. 2, 853-859, 2012.

[54] N. Song, R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt, and M. Wolf, "Adaptive Widely Linear Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression based on the Multi-Stage Wiener Filter," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 60, no. 8, 2012.

[55] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Multi-Branch Tomlinson-Harashima Precoding Design for MU-MIMO Systems: Theory and Algorithms," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.62, no.3, pp.939,951, March 2014.

[56] M. Tomlinson, "New automatic equaliser employing modulo arithmetic", Electronics letters, vol. 7, 1971, pp.138-139

[57] C. Windpassinger, R. Fischer, T. Vencel, J. Huber, "Precoding in multiantenna and multiuser communications", IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., Vol. 3 , No. 4, Jul 2004, pp. 1305 - 1316.

[58] F. Dietrich, P. Breun, W. Utschick, "Robust Tomlinson-Harashima Precoding for the Wireless Broadcast Channel ", IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, Vol. 55 , Issue. 2, Feb 2007, pp. 631 - 644.

[59] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Switched interleaving techniques with limited feedback for interference mitigation in DS-CDMA systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 1946-1956, July 2011.

[60] S. Hakjea, L. Sang-Rim, L. Inkyu," Generalized channel inversion methods for multiuser MIMO systems", IEEE Trans. Communications, Vol. 57, Issue. 11, pp. 3489 - 3499.

[61] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haart, "Generalized design of low-complexity block diagonalization type precoding algorithms for multiuser MIMO systems", IEEE Trans. Communications, 2013.

[62] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Complexity Lattice Reduction-Aided Regularized Block Diagonalization for MU-MIMO Systems", IEEE. Communications Letters, Vol. 16, No. 6, June 2012, pp. 925-928.

[63] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive and Iterative Multi-Branch MMSE Decision Feedback Detection Algorithms for Multi-Antenna Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 14, no. 10, October 2013.

[64] J. Liu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Latency Reweighted Belief Propagation Decoding for LDPC Codes," IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1660-1663, October 2012.

[65] T. Wang, R. C. de Lamare, and P. D. Mitchell, "Low-Complexity Set-Membership Channel Estimation for Cooperative Wireless Sensor Networks," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 60, no. 6, May, 2011.

[66] R. C. de Lamare and P. S. R. Diniz, "Set-Membership Adaptive Algorithms based on Time-Varying Error Bounds for CDMA Interference Suppression," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 58, no. 2, Feb. 2009.

[67] R. C. de Lamare, "Massive MIMO Systems: Signal Processing Challenges and Future Trends", URSI Radio Science Bulletin, Dec. 2013.